

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 LIEN NGUYEN, } Case No. 3:12-cv-2644-GPC-MDD
12 v. Plaintiff, }
13 WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A. et al., } **ORDER GRANTING**
14 Defendants. } **UNOPPOSED MOTION TO**
15 **DISMISS**
(ECF NO. 3)

17 Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed a complaint in the San Diego Superior Court
18 on September 18, 2012, asserting various foreclosure related claims. (ECF No. 1.)
19 Defendants Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.; US Bank National Association; and First
20 American Trustee Servicing Solutions, LLC (“Defendants”) thereafter removed the
21 action to federal court On October 31, 2012. (*Id.*) On November 7, 2012, Defendants
22 filed a motion to dismiss Plaintiff’s complaint. (ECF No. 3.) The Court thereafter set
23 Defendant’s motion to dismiss for a hearing on April 19, 2013, and set a briefing
24 schedule requiring any response to Defendant’s motion to dismiss to be filed on or
25 before November 30, 2012. (ECF No. 5.)

26 To date, the Court has received no response to Defendant’s motion to dismiss.
27 Accordingly, the Court **GRANTS** Defendants’ motion to dismiss as unopposed. See
28 CivLR 7.1.f.3.c (governing responses to motions); see also Ghazali v. Moran, 46 F.3d

1 52, 53 (9th Cir. 1995) (“Failure to follow a district court’s local rules is a proper
2 ground for dismissal.”). **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Plaintiffs’ Complaint is
3 **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, and the hearing on Defendants’ Motion to
4 Dismiss, currently set for April 19, 2013, is **VACATED**.

5 DATED: April 11, 2013

6 
7 HON. GONZALO P. CURIEL
United States District Judge

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28